

PARISH COUNCIL OF DENMEAD

MINUTES OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE
MEETING HELD ON WEDNESDAY 3rd JUNE 2015 IN
THE OLD SCHOOL, SCHOOL LANE, DENMEAD
COMMENCING AT 7.30 pm



Members: Cllr K Andreoli (Chairman) (P)
Cllr I Brown (P)
Cllr G Crooks (P)
Cllr F Hull (P)
Cllr P Langford-Smith (P)
Cllr N Rusbridger (P)
Cllr M Willoughby

Also present: 2 members of the public, D/Cllr Read and Kelvin Andrews,
Deputy Clerk to the Council.

- 021/16P** **Apologies:** Apologies were received from Cllr Willoughby. **Noted.**
- 022/16P** **Declarations of Interest:** Cllrs Hull and Langford-Smith declared a personal interest in the application relating to Woods Edge. **Noted.**
- 023/16P** **Minutes of Previous Meeting:** The Minutes of the meeting held on 20th May 2015 were submitted for approval. **It was RESOLVED that the Minutes be accepted as an accurate record and were duly signed by the Chairman of the meeting.**
- 024/16P** **Public Participation:** At 7.32 pm the meeting recessed into open forum to allow questions and comments from members of the public. The meeting re-convened at 7.36 pm.
- 025/16P** **Planning Applications:** **It was RESOLVED that the following comments be forwarded to Winchester City Council and that the applications be taken in the following order: 4, 1, 2 and 3.**

(4) Denmead
Ref No: W21928/03 Press advert date:
Case No: 15/01102/FUL Comments by: 24.6.2015
Date Valid: 19.5.2015 Decision due: 14.7.2015
Team: 2_STH Case Officer: Mrs Katie Nethersole
Applicant: Mr Graham Smith
Proposal: (HOUSEHOLDER) Single storey side extension.
Location: 19 Park Road, Denmead PO7 6NE

The Parish Council of Denmead, by a unanimous decision, raised an OBJECTION to this proposal and cited the following reasons: (a) The proposed extension will have a flat roof contrary to the adopted Denmead Village Design Statement. (b) It is too close to the existing extension in the adjacent property thereby restricting the ability to carry out maintenance on that extension. (c) It will affect the visual amenity of the street scene. (d) There was concern over the disposal of rain water from the roof of the extension.

(1) Denmead
Ref No: W24178 Press advert date:
Case No: 15/00811/FUL Comments by: 2.6.2015
Date Valid: 30.4.2015 Decision due: 25.6.2015
Team: 2_STH Case Officer: Mrs Katie Nethersole
Applicant: Ms M Jolliffe
Proposal: (HOUSEHOLDER) Ground floor front extension and loft conversion to provide first floor living accommodation with dormer windows.
Location: 22 Ashling Park Road Denmead Waterlooville Hampshire PO7 6EH

The Parish Council of Denmead, by a unanimous decision, raised NO OBJECTION to this proposal.

(2) Denmead
Ref No: W02371/08 Press advert date:
Case No: 15/00879/FUL Comments by: 5.6.2015
Date Valid: 5.5.2015 Decision due: 30.6.2015
Team: 2_STH Case Officer: Mark Wandsworth
Applicant: Mr Andrew Rafferty
Proposal: Erection of Agricultural barn 18 x 9 metres.
Location: Woods edge, Hambledon Road, Denmead PO7 6EU

The Parish Council of Denmead, by a unanimous decision, raised NO OBJECTION to and SUPPORTED this proposal.

(3) Denmead
Ref No: W06589/06 Press advert date:
Case No: 15/00944/FUL Comments by: 12.6.2015
Date Valid: 14.5.2015 Decision due: 13.8.2015
Team: 2_STH Case Officer: Mr Mark Wadsworth
Applicant: Mrs Katie Eneas
Proposal: Change of use from agricultural building into stables, tack store and hay barn, to be used in conjunction with new lunge area and proposed ménage, use of field for equestrian purposes including riding and grazing.
Location: Yew Tree Cottage, World's End, Hambledon PO7 4QU

The Parish Council of Denmead, by a unanimous decision, raised an OBJECTION to this proposal and cited the following reasons: (a) The proposed development is within 120m of a pond/lake despite the application stating that it was not. (b) There were a number of trees which could be affected by the development despite the application stating that there were not. (c) The size of the stables would limit livestock to ponies and not horses. (d) The lunge area is too small and should have a diameter of 20m and not 15.2m as built. (e) The top surface of sand for the proposed manege and the existing lunge area is not considered a suitable surface in this area. (f) The proposed manege at 60m by 30m is in excess of even an international standard manege and because of its rotation is too close to the stream/water course at the eastern end of the site and this stream/water course is fundamental to the Hambledon flood relief scheme. (g) The proposed location of the manege is in a flood meadow. (h) Members were concerned about the impact of the development on local fauna. (i) The proposed location of the development could have a detrimental impact on the occupiers of Brownie Side.

Members did not object to the change of use to equestrian usage and would consider an application with a changed layout which did not impact on the area in the way this application did.

026/16P Decisions Received: Lists of decisions by Winchester City Council had been received and copied to members for their information. The decisions were noted.

Outstanding Matters and Matters Arising:

027/16P (a) Election of Vice-chairman: It was RESOLVED that Cllr Hull be elected as Vice-Chairman of the Planning Committee for the municipal year 2015-2016.

028/16P (b) Permitted Development Rights: An email had previously been sent to WCC requesting a meeting with Cllr Read, the Portfolio Holder for Built Environment and Mr. Steve Opacic, Head of Strategic Planning. An acknowledgement had been received but a date was still awaited. It was RESOLVED to continue to push for a meeting.

Correspondence Received:

029/16P (a) Former BAE Systems Park, Waterlooville: Concern was raised about the proposed change of use from mainly leisure to mostly retail at the former BAE Systems Park. Following discussion It was RESOLVED to write to Havant Borough Council to outline the dismay of Denmead Parish Council at these proposals and urge them to consider the provision of greater leisure facilities at this location which would benefit the whole of Waterlooville and the new residents of the West of Waterlooville MDA.

Appeals:

- 030/16P (a) Land To The Rear Of Chairmakers Arms: Date of inquiry – 9th June 2015. **It was AGREED that Cllr Hull or Langford-Smith would attend on the first day of the hearing to request that a representation was allowed from Denmead Parish Council.**
- 031/16P (b) Land adjacent to Woodlands, Bunkers Hill: Awaiting appeal decision. **Noted.**
- 032/16P River End: Awaiting transfer of land. Cllr Andreoli queried whether or not a path connected the new development from the greenways. **Enquiries to be made.**
- 033/16P West of Waterloo Major Development Area: The next meeting of the Forum had now been re-scheduled for 6th July 2015. **Noted.**
- 034/16P Winchester Local Development Framework: It was reported that there was some slippage with Part 2. **Noted.**
- 035/16P New Planning Applications: There were two new planning applications for distribution. **Noted.**
- 036/16P Exempt Business: **It was RESOLVED, that in accordance with the Public Bodies (Admission to Meetings) Act 1960, to exclude the public and press for the discussion of the following matters where publicity might be prejudicial to the special nature of the business namely Enforcement Matters, Listed Buildings, Confidential Discussions and Tree Preservation Orders.**

The meeting closed at 8.52 pm

**Members are summoned to the next scheduled meeting of this Committee,
which will be held in The Old School, School Lane, Denmead
at 7.30 pm on Wednesday 24th June 2015**

PUBLIC SESSION - PLANNING COMMITTEE MEETING 3rd June 2015

Comments on Applications

| | | | |
|-------------|---|--------------------|----------------------|
| Ref No: | Denmead W21928/03 | Press advert date: | |
| Case No: | 15/01102/FUL | Comments by: | 24.6.2015 |
| Date Valid: | 19.5.2015 | Decision due: | 14.7.2015 |
| Team: | 2_STH | Case Officer: | Mrs Katie Nethersole |
| Applicant: | Mr Graham Smith | | |
| Proposal: | (HOUSEHOLDER) Single storey side extension. | | |
| Location: | 19 Park Road, Denmead PO7 6NE | | |

Mr Kennett of Park Road stated that he did not object to the extension but wished to ensure that there was a three inch gap between his existing garage and the proposed extension and that the footings of his garage were not disturbed.

Any Other Planning Matters

None